


<p style="text-align: center;"> <small>ONTUSTIK-QAZAQSTAN</small> MEDISINA AKADEMIASY <small>«Оңтүстік Қазақстан медицина академиясы» АҚ</small> </p>  <p style="text-align: center;"> <small>SOUTH KAZAKHSTAN</small> MEDICAL ACADEMY <small>АО «Южно-Казахстанская медицинская академия»</small> </p>			
Foreign languages department			044-36-11()
Syllabus on educational program 7M10142 “Pharmacy”			1page. from 16

Syllabus
Department «Foreign languages»
Discipline academic work program (Syllabus)
Educational program 7M10142 “Pharmacy” 2 years (scientific and pedagogical direction)

1.	General information about the Course		
1.1	Course Code: M-Sht	1.6	Academic year: 2024-2025
1.2	Course name: Foreign language (professional)	1.7	Year: I
1.3	Prerequisites: Bachelor course of Foreign language (English)	1.8	Term: I
1.4	Post-requisites: branch disciplines of specialty	1.9	Number of credits (ECTS):3/90 hours
1.5	Cycle: BD (basic discipline)	1.10	Component: UC
2.	Course description (maximum 50 words)		
Extension and development of skills for practical usage proficiency language of specialty, for active application of a foreign language both in daily, and in professional communication: lexicon, grammar, possession of oral speech, written skills, audition, translation.			
3.	Summative assessment form		
3.1	Testing	✓	
4.	Discipline objectives		
The purpose of discipline at this stage includes the development of the following competencies: - linguistic and cultural competence involves the formation of the necessary knowledge of educational cultural material, knowledge of the minimum of general literary vocabulary, knowledge of linguistic means (phonetic, lexical, grammatical), which allow to be engaged in the process of communication, form life activity in accordance with spiritual and moral, moral and ethical, aesthetic and creative potential; - cognitive competence ensures the formation of language as an integral part of the process of cognition and the formation of thinking; - communication competence contributes to the mastery of complex communication skills and skills, the formation of adequate skills in new social structures, including knowledge of cultural norms and restrictions in communication, knowledge of customs and traditions.			
5.	Learning outcomes		
LO1.	To develop strategies to improve reading speed, and to improve the ability to comprehend complex academic texts		
LO2.	To develop strategies to produce more coherent writing, and to make clear, appropriate, and relevant notes from academic texts		
LO3.	To encourage them to adopt various approaches for dealing with new or unknown vocabulary by practicing effective use of dictionaries and through making effective vocabulary records		
LO4.	To explore and evaluate research techniques and resources and crediting sources of information.		
LO5.	To promote learner independence by encouraging learners to return to early study skills to refresh their memories, or see how new skills build on and develop those previously presented.		
5.1	Course learning outcomes		The learning outcomes of the EP, which are related to the learning outcomes of the course
	LO 1. To develop strategies to improve reading speed, and to improve the ability to comprehend complex academic texts		LO 7. Engaged in professional growth, demonstrates



Foreign languages department

Syllabus on educational program 7M10142 “Pharmacy”

044-36-11()
2page. from 16

	LO 2. To develop strategies to produce more coherent writing, and to make clear, appropriate, and relevant notes from academic texts				introspection skills, experience for teaching at the level of higher education.	
	LO 3. To encourage them to adopt various approaches for dealing with new or unknown vocabulary by practicing effective use of dictionaries and through making effective vocabulary records					
	LO 4. To explore and evaluate research techniques and resources and crediting sources of information.					
	LO 5. To promote learner independence by encouraging learners to return to early study skills to refresh their memories, or see how new skills build on and develop those previously presented.					
6.	Details of the course					
6.1	Location (building, auditorium): Contact information: SKMA JSC, Department of Foreign Languages, Tokayev st., 27A auditorium No. 402. e-mail: inostr.kaf@mail.ru, inostr.kaf@ukma.kz					
6.2	Number of hours	Practical lessons		LIWT	LIW	
		30		18	42	
7.	Information about teachers					
№	Full name		Degrees and title		Email address	
1.	Zhumagulova Gulshat Kopzhanovna		Candidate of Philology, Head of the Department of Foreign Languages		dan-adik@mail.ru	
2.	Dzharkimbekova Nazikha Karzhaubekovna		Candidate of Philology, docent			
8.	Thematic plan					
Week/ Day	Topic name	Summary	Cours e learni ng outco mes	Nu mb er of hou rs	Forms / methods / learning technologies	Forms / assessment methods
1	Practical lesson: Education and learning	Good study habits Effective reading: survey, skim, scan and intensive reading Education in the UK Comparing and contrasting Degrees of adjective	LO1,4	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion Individual work	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Test Feedback
	LIWT Postgraduate education in Kazakhstan	Developing public speech: topic sentences, body sentences, final sentences	LO2,4,5	2/3	Individual work Demonstration of presentation	Monologue speech
2	Practical lesson: Education in Japan and England Review	Education in Japan and England : a comparison Checking your writing: sentence length , word order and linking words, missing words	LO1,2,5	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Test Feedback
	LIWT: Comparing the	Writing a comparing and	LO1,3,5	2/4	Individual	Essay

	education system in Kazakhstan with either England or Japan	contrasting essay			work writing essay	
3	Practical lesson: Innovations in health and medicine	Effective reading: increasing your reading speed; focusing your reading. Main idea, heading and key words	LO1,3,5	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion Individual work	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Test Feedback
	LIWT: Vaccinations	Developing a paragraph: topic sentences, body sentences, final sentences	LO3,4,5	2/4	Individual work writing paragraph	Essay
4	Practical lesson: Keeping healthy Review	Recording vocabulary: which words to record; what to record about a word; choosing is suitable method to record vocabulary	LO2,3,5	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion Individual work	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Test Feedback
	LIWT: Diet and health	Writing a paragraph	LO2,3,5	2/3	Individual work Writing paragraph	Essay
5	Practical lesson: A model of a good urban planning	Paragraph purpose. Searching the internet efficiently and selecting information	LO1,2,5	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Feedback
	LIWT: Why Shymkent should hold the national/ cultural event Midterm exam 1	Writing a persuasive article	LO2,3,5	2/4	Individual work Demonstration of project	Monologue speech Google forms
6	Practical lesson: An international trade fair Review	Text cohesion Using a reference material Prioritizing brainstorming Collocation: adjective +noun; verb +noun	LO2,3,5	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion Individual work	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Test Feedback
	LIWT: Cultural event in Shymkent	Writing a thesis statement and introduction to the essay	LO1,2,3	2/4	Individual work Demonstration of presentation	Presentation with planned mistakes
7	Practical lesson: Water, food and energy	Finding information from more than one source (data, numerals, percentage) Identifying language for rephrasing and giving examples Introductions and	LO1,2,4,5	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion Individual work	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Test Feedback

Foreign languages department

Syllabus on educational program 7M10142 “Pharmacy”

044-36-11()
4page. from 16

		conclusions				
	LIWT: National event in Shymkent	Writing a thesis statement and introduction to the essay	LO1,2,3	2/3	Individual work Demonstration of presentation	Presentation with planned mistakes
8	Practical lesson Sources of energy Review	Writing to describe and explain	LO1,2,4,5	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion, Individual work	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Test Feedback
	LIWT: Renewable sources of energy	Developing public speech: topic sentences, body sentences, final sentences	LO1,2,3	2/4	Individual work Writing persuasive article	Project: Article
9	Practical lesson Free trade and fair trade	Supporting a point of view Presenting arguments	LO1,2,4,5	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion Individual work	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Test Feedback
	LIWT: In favour, neutral or against	An opinion essay	LO1,2,3	2/4	Individual work Writing opinion essay	Essay
10	Practical lesson Examples of a fair trade Vocabulary development Review Midterm exam II	Multiple meanings	LO2,4,5	3	Work in pairs// Work in small groups //Discussion Individual work	Question – answer, discussion on the topic, Test Feedback Google form
	Preparation and carrying out of midterm exam (10% of the total number of hours allocated to the discipline)					9
9.	Training and Teaching Methods					
9.1	Practical lessons	oral response, discussions, performing test tasks, performing exercises, dictionary dictation, compiling monologues, dialogues, oral interviewing, etc.				
9.2	LIW / LIWT	self-mastering the topics included in the program, preparing presentations, writing essays, info poster, monologue-speech, project etc.				
9.3	Midterm examination	test in Google form.				
9.4	Final examination	examination in the form of comprehensive testing. Learners who have fully mastered the discipline program and scored a 50%				


		admission rating are allowed to the exam.		
10.	Evaluation criteria			
10.1	Criteria for evaluating the learning outcomes of the disciplin			
LO discipline	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactorily	Good	Excellent
LO 1.To develop strategies to improve reading speed, and to improve the ability to comprehend complex academic texts	does not understand the text or misunderstands the content of the text; not oriented in the text when searching certain facts.	ability to work with a whole text and navigate its structure; ability to extract the main content of what is read depending on the nature of the textual information.	ability to find necessary generalizations in a text or several texts in case of explicit nature of textual information; ability to draw on a broad context; to recognize internal logical connections and make appropriate generalizations; utilize background tasks to fill in gaps in meaning. in case of implicit nature of information.	Mastering the basics of semantic compression of the original with the purpose of compiling a secondary document (report, abstract and/or annotation); elimination of redundant material, generalization of semantic blocks and concretization of parts of the original, realization of appropriate lexico-grammatical transformations while preserving semantic identity.
LO 2. To develop strategies to produce more coherent writing, and to make clear, appropriate, and relevant notes from academic texts	does not have the skills to produce coherent writing/speech	conversation with the use of elements of description, narration and reasoning on the topic; discussing the read or listened text, expressing their opinion and attitude to the narrated text.	presenting an oral report on a given topic (with preliminary preparation); reproduction of a brief or detailed retelling of a listened or read text.	composing a coherent text with using key words on professional topics.
LO 3. To encourage them to adopt various approaches for dealing with new or unknown vocabulary by practicing effective use of dictionaries and through making effective vocabulary records	unable to work with unfamiliar terminological vocabulary.	choosing the right word meaning from the series of words presented in the dictionary.	expanding the potential vocabulary through conversion and systematization of word formation methods; mastering lexical and phraseological phenomena characteristic of medical and pharmaceutical texts.	expanding the scope of learners' receptive vocabulary; inclusion of general scientific terminological and professionally oriented vocabulary into the active vocabulary of learners.
LO 4. To explore and evaluate research	research skills are not	ability to work with sources and	ability to perform basic logical	ability to express one's thoughts (formulate

techniques and resources and crediting sources of information.	developed.	documents; ability to work with reference literature; ability to work with computer search engines.	operations; ability to make observations; ability to conduct various types of research; ability to organize data in various ways.	judgments); ability to present the results of research.
LO 5. To promote learner independence by encouraging learners to return to early study skills to refresh their memories, or see how new skills build on and develop those previously presented.	lack of independent activity skills; low level of motivation in the learning process.	solves a reproductive; problem; uses literature under the teacher's guidance.	solves problems and any teacher's tasks independently; presents the result obtained; exercises self-control; self-corrects actions; performs adequate self-assessment of actions.	independently solves tasks and any teacher's assignments; presents the obtained result; exercises self-control performs step-by-step self-correction of actions; performs adequate self-assessment of actions; helps other learners.

10.2 Criteria for evaluating the learning outcomes of the discipline

Practical lesson's Checklist

Type of assessment	Criteria for assessing learner's knowledge	Grade	
Question-Answer	Clarity: Ensure the question is clear, focused, and aligned with the learning objectives.	0-5	
	Relevance: Does the question reflect theoretical or applied linguistic concepts covered in the course?	0-5	
	Depth: Check if the question encourages critical thinking or application of knowledge.	0-5	
	Answer Completeness: Is the learner's answer comprehensive and accurate?	0-5	
	Citations/Evidence: Has the learner provided relevant examples or references to support their answer?	0-5	
Type of assessment	Criteria for assessing learner's knowledge	Grade	
Discussion on the Topic Checklist	Participation		
	Did the learner actively participate in the discussion?	0-5	
	Were the contributions timely and relevant to the topic?	0-5	
	Quality of Contributions		
	Did the learner present original ideas or perspectives?	0-5	
	Were arguments well-supported with references, theories, or examples?	0-5	
	Did the learner critically engage with peers' ideas (e.g., ask questions, build on points)?	0-5	
	Communication Skills		

<p style="text-align: center;"> <small>ONTUSTIK-QAZAQSTAN</small> MEDISINA AKADEMIASY <small>«Оңтүстік Қазақстан медицина академиясы» АҚ</small> </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <small>SOUTH KAZAKHSTAN</small> MEDICAL ACADEMY <small>АО «Южно-Казахстанская медицинская академия»</small> </p>		
Foreign languages department		044-36-11()
Syllabus on educational program 7M10142 “Pharmacy”		7page. from 16

	Was the language clear, professional, and appropriate for the academic context?	0-5	
	Did the learner maintain respect and courtesy during the discussion?	0-5	
Type of assessment	Criteria for assessing learner's knowledge	Grade	
Feedback	Constructiveness: Is the feedback specific, actionable, and constructive?	0-5	
	Balance: Does the feedback highlight both strengths and areas for improvement?	0-5	
	Focus: Is the feedback relevant to the content and skills assessed?	0-5	
	Tone: Is the feedback encouraging and respectful?	0-5	
	Examples: Does the feedback include examples or suggestions to support improvement?	0-5	
	Clarity: Is the feedback easy to understand and well-structured?	0-5	
Type of assessment	Criteria for assessing learner's knowledge	Grade	
Test	The test is taken electronically in Google forms. The test consists of 10 questions and should be passed in 5 min. The score is calculated on a 10-point scale.	Excellent 9-10 Good 7-8 Satisfactory 5-6 Unsatisfactory 0-4	

Checklist for LIWT

Types of LIWT	Grade	traditional grade	Criteria for assessing learner's knowledge
Presentation	A (4.0; 95-100%); A- (3.67; 90-94%)	Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - presentation is exceptionally clear, concise, and focused, with a well-defined message and purpose. - content is highly relevant to the topic, demonstrating an in-depth understanding of the subject matter. - presenter's research and knowledge are extensive, providing comprehensive insights. - presenter effectively supports their arguments with a wide range of compelling evidence and examples -the presenter consistently engages the audience, maintaining their interest throughout the info poster. - logical and consistent presentation of the text of the work

	<p>B+ (3.33; 85-89%);</p> <p>B (3.0; 80-84%)</p> <p>B- (2.67; 75-79%)</p>	Good	<p>-the presentation is generally clear and focused, with a discernible message and purpose.</p> <p>-content is mostly relevant to the topic, demonstrating a solid understanding of the subject matter.</p> <p>-the presenter has conducted thorough research and displays adequate knowledge.</p> <p>-the organization and structure of the presentation are logical, allowing for a coherent flow of information.</p> <p>-the presenter uses a reasonable amount of supporting evidence and examples.</p>	
	<p>C+ (2.33; 70-74%).</p>	Good	<p>- made in the correct literary language, stylistically corresponds to the content;</p> <p>- there are single factual inaccuracies;</p> <p>- there are minor inconsistencies in the presentation of thoughts;</p> <p>- the conclusion contains conclusions that logically follow from the content to main part.</p>	
	<p>C (2.0; 65-69%)</p> <p>C- (1.67; 60-64%)</p>	Satisfactory	<p>-the presentation has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus.</p> <p>-content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding.</p> <p>-the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth.</p> <p>-the organization and structure of the presentation are somewhat logical but may be disjointed.</p> <p>-the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.</p>	
	<p>D+ (1, 33; 55-59 %)</p> <p>D (1.0; 50-54%)</p>	Satisfactory	<p>-the presentation shows a lack of research and understanding of the subject matter.</p> <p>-there is a lack of relevant literature, data, or sources to support the presentation's content.</p> <p>-It addresses a topic or problem that is irrelevant or trivial</p>	
	F (0; 0-49 %)	Unsatisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The content is inaccurate or irrelevant to the topic. • Major points are missing, or the information is superficial, lacking depth and explanation. • There is a lack of clear argument or purpose. • Evidence and examples are poorly chosen or absent. • The presentation lacks a clear introduction, body, and conclusion. • Ideas are disorganized, making it hard to follow the main points. • The presenter shows little or no engagement with the audience. • The delivery is monotonous, lacking enthusiasm, or confidence. • The presenter reads from slides or notes excessively, showing little interaction with the audience. • The presenter is unable to respond to questions or engage in discussion. • The answers provided are vague or irrelevant, demonstrating a lack of preparation or understanding of the topic. 	

Info poster	A (4.0; 95-100%); A- (3.67; 90-94%)	Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -visual aids are clear, well-designed, and directly contribute to the presentation's effectiveness. -the design and layout of visuals are consistent and visually pleasing. -visuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension. - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved -visual aids are not only visually appealing but also enhance the audience's understanding significantly. 	
	B+ (3.33; 85-89%); B (3.0; 80-84%) B- (2.67; 75-79%)	Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -visual aids are mostly clear and adequately designed but may have some minor issues. -the design and layout of visuals are generally consistent. -visuals generally contribute to the info poster's effectiveness. -the info poster presents not so clear, not complete, or not correctly organized results or findings -It offers not so unique perspective or solution to the problem -It addresses a topic or problem that is relevant or trivial. 	
	C+ (2.33; 70-74%).		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - made in the correct literary language, stylistically corresponds to the content; - there are single factual inaccuracies; - there are minor inconsistencies in the presentation thoughts; - the conclusion contains conclusions that logically follow from the content to main part. 	
	C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%)	Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - mainly reveals the topic; - the presenter engages the audience to some extent but may struggle to maintain their interest. -visual aids, if used, contribute minimally to the presentation's understanding. - the material is presented quite logically, but there are separate violation of the sequence of expression of thoughts 	
	D+ (1, 33 ; 55-59 %) D (1.0; 50-54%)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -the info poster documentation is disorganized, lacks detail, and is poorly structured. -visuals, if used, do not effectively enhance understanding or are irrelevant. -the material is unclear, unengaging, and fails to communicate the info poster's key points effectively. 	

Essay	F (0; 0-49 %)	Unsatisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The information presented is inaccurate, misleading, or irrelevant to the topic. • The key message or purpose of the poster is unclear or missing. • Essential details are omitted or not explained adequately. • There is a lack of factual evidence, supporting data, or credible sources. • The poster fails to convey a clear, coherent message. • The main idea or purpose is unclear, or there is too much irrelevant information. • Text is overly complicated or uses jargon without explanation. • No clear distinction between the most important points and supplementary details. • The text is poorly written with numerous spelling or grammatical errors. • Typography choices (font style, size, spacing) are inconsistent or difficult to read. • The poster has too much empty space (lack of content) or is overcrowded with text and images. • Poor use of white space makes the poster look unbalanced or unprofessional. • Elements are crowded together with no breathing room, making the poster difficult to read. 	
	A (4.0; 95-100%); A- (3.67; 90-94%)	Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the content of the work is fully consistent with the topic; - the topic is deeply and reasonably revealed, which indicates excellent knowledge of the problem -additional materials required for its coverage, the ability to draw conclusions and generalizations; - harmonious in composition, logical and consistent presentation of thoughts; - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved - written in the correct literary language and stylistically corresponds to the content; - there are no actual errors; - the semantic unity of the text has been achieved - the conclusion contains conclusions that logically follow from the content to main part. 	
	B+ (3.33; 85-89%); B (3.0; 80-84%) B- (2.67; 75-79%)	Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - content is relevant to the topic - good knowledge of literary material is revealed, and other sources on the topic of the essay and the ability to use them to justify , their thoughts, as well as draw conclusions and generalizations; - logical and consistent presentation of the text of the work; - clearly formulated thesis corresponding to the topic , - in the main part is logical, coherent, but not complete enough the thesis put forward is proved . 	
	C+ (2.33; 70-74%).		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - written in the correct literary language, stylistically corresponds to the content; - there are single factual inaccuracies; - there are minor inconsistencies in the presentation thoughts; 	

			- the conclusion contains conclusions that logically follow from the content to main part.	
	C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%)	Satisfactory	- mainly reveals the topic; - a correct, but one-sided or insufficiently complete answer is given to topic - deviations from it or individual errors in the presentation were made factual material; - insufficient ability to draw conclusions and generalizations is revealed; - the material is presented quite logically, but there are separate violation of the sequence of expression of thoughts; - the conclusions do not fully correspond to the content of the main part.	
	D+ (1, 33 ; 55-59 %) D (1.0; 50-54%)		- the topic is not fully disclosed, which indicates a superficial knowledge; - consists of a confused retelling of individual events, without conclusion and generalizations; - characterized by a random arrangement of the material, the absence connections between parts; - conclusions do not follow from the main part; - numerous (60-100%) text borrowings from other sources; - characterized by the presence of gross speech errors.	
	F (0; 0-49 %)	Unsatisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The essay includes little or no evidence or reference to credible sources. (if sources are used, they are either irrelevant, incorrect, or improperly integrated). • There is a lack of academic depth or engagement with existing research, theories, or literature. • Citations are missing, inaccurate, or improperly formatted. • The essay lacks a clear structure (e.g., no introduction, body, or conclusion). • Paragraphs are poorly organized or do not follow a logical progression. • The essay may jump from one point to another with no clear connection or coherence. • Arguments are weak, underdeveloped, or not substantiated with evidence. • The writer does not provide sufficient reasoning to support claims or conclusions. • There is no attempt to critically evaluate or synthesize information. • Frequent spelling, punctuation, or grammatical errors significantly hinder understanding. • The writing lacks coherence, with awkward phrasing, unclear pronouns, or repetitive language. • Vocabulary is overly simplistic or inappropriate for an academic essay. • The essay may be submitted late or fail to follow basic submission guidelines. 	
Project	A (4.0; 95-100%);	Excellent	-the presenter's verbal communication is exceptional, featuring clear articulation, an engaging tone, and a well-paced delivery, -non-verbal communication is outstanding, with confident and appropriate eye contact, gestures, and body language, - visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance	

Monologue speech	A- (3.67; 90-94%)		understanding, - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others.	
	B+ (3.33; 85-89%); B (3.0; 80-84%) B- (2.67; 75-79%)	Good	-verbal communication is generally clear and understandable, with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pace. -non-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate. -the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the project. -visual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed.	
	C+ (2.33; 70-74%).		-the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting, or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chosen context	
	C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%)	Satisfactory	-the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus. -content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding. -the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth. -the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed. -the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.	
	D+ (1, 33 ; 55 -59 %) D (1.0; 50-54%)	Satisfactory	-the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making it difficult to understand its focus - the project fails to draw meaningful conclusions based on the results -the goals of the project are poorly defined, unrealistic, or unachievable - the project shows a lack of research and understanding of the subject matter.	
	F (0; 0-49 %)	Unsatisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The project lacks clear objectives or a defined scope. • There is no clear understanding or articulation of the purpose and outcomes of the project. • The project lacks adequate research or data, or the research is irrelevant or inaccurate. • The project is incomplete or parts of it are missing or not functioning as intended. • The project lacks originality or creativity. 	
	A (4.0; 95-100%);	Excellent	- verbal communication, clear articulation, tone, and pace; - the topic is deeply and reasonably revealed, which indicates excellent knowledge of the problem -additional materials required for its coverage, the ability to draw conclusions and generalizations; - harmonious in composition, logical and consistent presentation of thoughts;	

	A- (3.67; 90-94%)	Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved -the speaker adeptly answers questions and engages in meaningful discussions, demonstrating a deep understanding of the topic; - correct literary language and stylistically corresponds to the content; - there are no actual errors 	
	B+ (3.33; 85-89%); B (3.0; 80-84%) B- (2.67; 75-79%)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -verbal communication is generally clear and understandable, with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pace. -non-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate. - logical and consistent presentation of the text of the work; - clearly formulated thesis corresponding to the topic , - in the main part is logical, coherent, but not complete enough the thesis put forward is proved. 	
	C+ (2.33; 70-74%).		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -the speaker responds adequately to questions and engages in discussions, demonstrating a reasonable understanding of the topic. -audience engagement strategies, while speaking, may require some improvement. -challenges or interruptions are addressed satisfactorily. - there are single factual inaccuracies; - there are minor inconsistencies in the presentation of Thoughts 	
	C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%)	Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - mainly reveals the topic; - a correct, but one-sided or insufficiently complete answer is given to topic -verbal communication is understandable but may have noticeable issues with articulation, tone, or pace. -non-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is somewhat lacking or inappropriate at times - the material is presented quite logically, but there are separate violation of the sequence of expression of thoughts; - the conclusions do not fully correspond to the content of the main part. 	
	D+ (1, 33 ; 55 -59 %) D (1.0; 50-54%)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the topic is not fully disclosed, which indicates a superficial knowledge; - consists of a confused retelling of individual events, without conclusion and generalizations; - characterized by a random arrangement of the material, the absence connections between parts; - conclusions do not follow from the main part; - characterized by the presence of gross speech errors. 	
	F (0; 0-49 %)	Unsatisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The speech lacks a clear central message or purpose. • The content is irrelevant, off-topic, or does not address the assigned prompt or objective. • Key points are missing, underdeveloped, or not clearly explained. • The speech fails to provide meaningful or accurate information. • The speech lacks a clear structure (e.g., introduction, body, conclusion). 	

Foreign languages department

Syllabus on educational program 7M10142 “Pharmacy”

044-36-11()
14page. from 16

- The ideas or arguments are presented in a confusing or illogical
- There is a lack of eye contact, poor posture, or limited use of gestures.
- The speaker’s voice lacks variation in tone, pace, or volume, making the speech dull.

Checklist for Midterm control

Computer test	Max. 100	Min. 50
The test is taken electronically in Google forms.	90-100	Excellent
The test consists of 50 questions and should be passed in 50 min.	70-89	Good
The score is calculated on a 100-point scale.	50-69	Satisfactory
Unsatisfactory FX could be repassed.	25-49	Unsatisfactory FX
Unsatisfactory F means failed midterm.	0-24	Unsatisfactory F


Point-rating letter system for evaluating educational achievements in accordance with the level model and translation into ECTS and the traditional rating scale

Level and description of language proficiency according to pan-European competence (further - OEC)	Letter System Evaluation	ECTS Score (isities) Digital Points Equivalent	ECTS Score (isities) Digital Points Equivalent	% content	Traditional Rating Scale
C1	A	A	4,0	95-100	excellent
	A-		3,67	90-94	
	B+	B	3,33	85-89	good
	B	C	3,0	80-84	good
	B-		2,67	75-79	
	C+		2,33	70-74	
	C	D	2,0	65-69	satisfactory
	C-		1,67	60-64	
	D+		1,33	55-59	
	D	E	1,0	50-54	satisfactory
	F	FX, F	0	0-49	unsatisfactory

11. Learning resources

Electronic resources, including, but not limited to: databases, animation simulators, professional blogs, websites, other electronic reference materials (for example: video, audio, digests)

- 1.Электронная библиотека ЮКМА- <http://e-lib.skma.edu.kz/genres>
- 2.Республиканская межвузовская электронная билиотека (РМЭБ) - <http://rmebrk.kz/>
3. Цифровая библиотека “Акнурpress” <http://www.aknurpress.kz/>
4. Электронная библиотека «Эпиграф» -- <http://elib.kz/>
5. Эпиграф –портал мультимедийных учебников - <http://mbook.kz/ru/index/>
6. ЭБС IPR SMART <http://iprbookshop.ru/auth>
- 7.Информационно-правовая система «Заң»- <http://zan.kz/ru>

<p style="text-align: center;"> <small>ONTUSTIK-QAZAQSTAN</small> MEDISINA AKADEMIASY <small>«Оңтүстік Қазақстан медицина академиясы» АҚ</small> </p>  <p style="text-align: center;"> <small>SOUTH KAZAKHSTAN</small> MEDICAL ACADEMY <small>АО «Южно-Казахстанская медицинская академия»</small> </p>	
Foreign languages department	044-36-11()
Syllabus on educational program 7M10142 “Pharmacy”	15page. from 16

	8.Cochrane Library - http://www.cochranelibrary.com
Electronic textbooks	Кисметова Г.Н. Ағылшын тілі грамматикасы: оқу құралы. «Medet Group» ЖШС, Қарағанды, 2024. – 304 бет https://aknurpress.kz/reader/web/2687
	Грамматика английского языка. Учебное пособие Нупилова А.Б., Ким И.С. , 2016 https://aknurpress.kz/reader/web/2344
	Сатбаева А.А., Сагантаева С.Х. Английский язык для фармацевтов. – Алматы: «Эверо», 2020. – 296с. https://www.elib.kz/ru/search/read_book/375/
	Науменко, М. Г. Теоретическая грамматика английского языка = Theoretical Grammar of the English Language : учебное пособие / М. Г. Науменко. — Ростов-на-Дону, Таганрог : 2017. — 116 с. https://www.iprbookshop.ru/87502.html
Literature	Камянова, Т. English grammar. Грамматика английского языка : теория и практика: учебник / Т. Камянова. - М. : "Дом Славянской Книги", 2013. - 1024 с.
	Маслова, А. М. Медициналық жоғарғы оқу орындарына арналған ағылшын тілі : оқулық /. - М. : ГЭОТАР - Медиа, 2016. - 336 бет. с
	English for the pharmaceutical industry : textbook / M. Bucheler [and etc.]. - New York : Oxford University Press, 2014. - 96 p. +эл. опт. диск (CD-ROM).
Additional Literature	Case study : оқу-әдістемелік құрал / Г. К. Жумагулова [және т.б.]. - Шымкент : ЮКМА, 2023. - 55 б.
	Жумагулова, Г. К. Intensive english : оқу-әдістемелік құрал / Г. К. Жумагулова, К. С. Таукеева, Г. Ө. Алипбаева. - Шымкент : ЮКМА, 2023. - 93 б.
	Oxford Qazaq Dictionary: қазақша-ағылшынша және ағылшынша-қазақша сөздік / ed. З. Башбаева [et. al.]. - 1-ші бас. - Алматы : "Ұлттық аударма бюросы" корпоративтік қоры, 2023. - 1368 бет
	Jakeman, V. New Insight into IELTS [Текст] : workbook / V. Jakeman, C. McDowell. - Cambridge : Cambridge university press, 2013. - 144 p
	Murphy, R Essential Grammar in Use: A self-study reference and practice book for elementary students of English : учебник R Murphy. - 3th ed. - [S. l.] : Cambridge university press, 2013. – 319
	Shoibekova, A. Zh. Latin and fundamentals of medical terminology for medical students with training english : book / A. Zh. Shoibekova. - Алматы : Эверо, 2016. - 164 p
	Англо- русский медицинский словарь . словарь / под ред. И. Ю. Марковиной. - М. : ГЭОТАР - Медиа, 2013. - 496 с.

12. Course policy

Minimum attendance of 50 % is required to pass the course. Absences exceeding may affect eligibility for certification.

Timely submission of assignments is required. Extensions are only granted with prior approval and valid reasons. Late work may result in a grade reduction.

Assessment based on participation, written assignments, presentations, and a final exam. Final grades reflect overall performance throughout the course.

Active engagement in class discussions, group activities, and debates is expected. C1 learners should demonstrate a high level of fluency and critical thinking.

Materials (articles, case studies, professional documents) will be provided. Learners are encouraged to

bring additional resources relevant to their industry or profession.

Regular feedback will be provided. Instructors are available for one-on-one consultations during office hours to address specific learning needs.

A learner who has scored a low score (less than 50 points) when passing midterm exam has two attempts for retaking and when the next attempt is taken away 10 points from the total score.

13. Academic policy based on the moral and ethical values of the academy

Academic policy. 11.4 Learner Honor Code

The learner aspires to become a worthy citizen of the Republic of Kazakhstan, a professional in the chosen specialty, to develop in himself the best qualities of a creative personality.

The learner respects the elders, does not allow rudeness towards others and shows sympathy for the socially vulnerable and takes care of them to the extent possible.

The learner's pattern of decency, culture and morality is intolerant of immorality and does not discriminate on the basis of sex, nationality or religion.

The learner leads a healthy lifestyle and completely abandons bad habits. The learner respects the traditions of the university, protects its property, monitors cleanliness and order in the learner dormitory. The learner recognizes the necessary and useful activities aimed at developing creative activity (scientific, educational, sports, artistic, etc.), at improving the corporate culture and image of the university. Outside the walls, the learner always remembers that he is a representative of the higher school and makes every effort not to drop his honor and dignity.

The learner considers it his duty to fight against all types of academic dishonesty, including: writing off and asking others for help when undergoing knowledge control procedures; Submission of any volume of training materials (abstracts, course, control, diploma and other works), including Internet resources, as results of own work; using family or service relationships to gain a higher rating; absenteeism, delays and skipping training without good reason.

The learner considers all the listed types of academic unscrupulous as incompatible with obtaining a high-quality and competitive education worthy of the future economic, political and managerial elite of Kazakhstan.

14. Approval and revision

Кітапхана- ақпараттық орталығымен келісілген күні <i>14.06.24</i>	Хаттама № <i>9</i>	Кітапхана- ақпараттық орталығы басшысы Т.А.Ж.	Қолы
Кафедра бекітілген күні <i>10.06.24</i>	Хаттама № <i>11</i>	Дарбичева Р.И.	<i>[Signature]</i>
ББ АҚ мақұлданған күні <i>18.06.24</i>	Хаттама № <i>11</i>	Кафедра меңгерушісі Жумагулова Г.К.	<i>[Signature]</i>
Қайта қарау күні	Хаттама № _____	ББ АҚ төрағасы Токсанбаева Ж.С.	<i>[Signature]</i>
		Кафедра меңгерушісі Жумагулова Г.К.	
ББ АҚ қайта қарау күні	Хаттама № _____	ББ АҚ төрағасы Токсанбаева Ж.С.	