Foreign languages department 044/36-11 Methodical recommendation for students` individual works 1 page of 16

Methodical recommendation for students' individual works

Discipline: Foreign language (English) A1 level

Code of discipline: FL 1103

Name of EP: 6B10115 «Medicine»

6B10117 "Dentistry"

6B10118 «Medical and preventive care»

6B10116 «Pediatrics» 6B10106 «Pharmacy»

6B07201 "Technology of pharmaceutical industry"

6B10111 "Public Health" 6B10104 "Nursing"

Credit: 150/5 Course: 1 Semester: 1

Students' individual works 15/85

ОЙТÚSTIK-OAZAOSTAN MEDISINA AKADEMIASY «Оңтүстік Қазақстан медицина академиясы» АҚ	ая медицинская академия»
Foreign languages department	044/36-11
Methodical recommendation for students` individual works	2 page of 16

This methodical recommendation for practical lessons was composed on the basis of the educational work program of discipline (syllabus) and discussed at the meeting of the department.

Protocol No 11 10.06, 2024 y

The head of the department Zhumagulova G.K.

Student's individual work #1

1. Theme: About myself. You have arrived at another university for academic mobility. Introduce yourself to a new group, tell us about your country, nationality, language, etc.

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme
- -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:
- 2 week
- **7. Bibliography** (on last page)

Student's individual work #2

1. Theme: Describe your family members or close friend

You have entered a university in another country and live in a family. Write an e-mail to a friend in your city about a family with whom you live. Describe the appearance, character, personal qualities of family members.

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- **5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge** (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:
- 2 week
- **7. Bibliography** (on last page)

Student's individual work #3

- **1. Theme:** 1.My daily routine. 2. Daily routine of successful people. 3. Time management Study the daily routine of successful people. Write a life hack of successful people about the right mode of the day.
- 2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme

-to extract the information from the text

4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:
- 3 week
- **7. Bibliography** (on last page)

Student's individual work #4

1. Theme: Recipe of your favorite dish and process of its preparation

In the microblock, offer a recipe for your favorite dish. Name the necessary products and describe the process preparations.

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme
- -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- **5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge** (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:
- 4 week
- **7. Bibliography** (on last page)

Student's individual work #5

1. Theme: Describe your future (imaginary) house

Imagine yourself as a designer and try to design your new home. Suggest several interior design options.

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme
- -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:
- 5 week
- **7. Bibliography** (on last page)

Student's individual work #6

1. Theme: My leisure time

Study together with a friend the posters of movies and events, the schedule of performances, concerts and exhibitions. Select the events you want to attend

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

3. Tasks:

- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme
- -to extract the information from the text

4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

6 week

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- **7. Bibliography** (on last page)

Student's individual work #7

1. Theme: Five top places you would like to visit

In summer you are planning a cruise in Europe (Asia, other countries). Plan your trip by days. Describe how many countries and what places you are going to visit. Tell us what you can and cannot do in those countries.

Midterm examination (test)

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

3. Tasks:

- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme
- -to extract the information from the text

4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:

7 week

7. Bibliography (on last page)

Student's individual work #8

1. Theme: Making up guidebook of your city

Prepare a guide to your native region "Welcome to our city!" Make a selection of the most interesting places in the city

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

3. Tasks:

-to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme

-to extract the information from the text

4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- **5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge** (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:
- 8 week
- **7. Bibliography** (on last page)

Student's individual work # 9

1. Theme: Describe your motherland

Describe your country /country of study language, its geographical location, climate, capital, their attractions

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme
- -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:
- 9 week
- **7. Bibliography** (on last page)

Student's individual work # 10

1. Theme: Make up a dialogue on the theme "Buying clothes"

You need to buy clothes. Consult with a consultant in boutique about the design, size, color and quality of clothing.

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening.

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:
- 10 week
- **7. Bibliography** (on last page)

Student's individual work # 11

1. Theme: My Favorite Film: Plot Summary and Characters

Provide a brief summary of their favorite film's plot, including the main events and key characters. Use appropriate vocabulary to describe favorite scenes, emotions you felt.

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme
- -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:
- 11 week

7. Bibliography (on last page)

Student's individual work # 12

1. Theme: The possibilities of using modern gadgets in learning process Explore the possibilities of using modern gadgets in the learning process. Suggest applications for iPads that can be used by teachers in foreign language classes.

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- **5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge** (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:

12 week

7. Bibliography (on last page)

Student's individual work # 13

1. Theme: History, background and description of each holiday

Cultural and historical background of the national symbols; state flag, national emblem, national anthem; slogans, emblems, state and political system, branches of the economy.

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme

-to extract the information from the text

4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:

13 week

7. Bibliography (on last page)

Student's individual work #14

1. Theme: Environmental problems of our country.

Conduct a survey on the topic "Students' attitude to the problem of environmental protection". Present the results of your research on the bargraph.

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme
- -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- 5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:

14 week

7. Bibliography (on last page)

Student's individual work # 15

1. Theme: Famous, talented and successful people

Identify and describe famous artists, their notable paintings, and their contributions to the art world. Recognize the qualities and talents that make a successful actor. Appreciate the diversity of artistic expression through paintings and acting

Midterm examination (test)

2. Objectives:

To acquire knowledge and skills in speaking, reading, writing and listening;

- 3. Tasks:
- -to form understanding of the teacher's speech and making up dialogues according to the theme -to extract the information from the text
- 4. The form of activity:

Monologue speech/Dialogue speech

- **5. Criteria for assessing students' knowledge** (on last page)
- 6. Deadline:

15 week

7. Bibliography (on last page)

Bibliography:

Basic:

- 1. Маслова, А. М. Медициналық жоғарғы оқу орындарына арналған ағылшын тілі : оқулық /. М. : ГЭОТАР Медиа, 2016. 336 бет. с.
- 2. Маслова, А. М. Английский язык для медицинских вузов : учебник / 5-е изд., испр.
- . М.: ГЭОТАР Медиа, 2015. 336
- 3. English for the pharmaceutical industry: textbook / M. Bucheler [and etc.]. New York: Oxford University Press, 2014. 96 p. +эл. опт. диск (CD-ROM).

Маслова, А. М. Английский язык для медицинских вузов : учебник . - 5-е изд. испр. - М. : ГЭОТАР - Медиа, 2013.

Additional:

- 1. Англо- русский медицинский словарь. словарь / под ред. И. Ю. Марковиной. М. : ГЭОТАР Медиа, 2013. 496 с.
- 2. Ж.М.Какуля, О.Н.Сорокина Профессиональному английскому языку для студентов по специльности «Общая медицина» 2014.
- 3. Murphy, R Essential Grammar in Use: A self-study reference and practice book for elementary students of English [Текст]: учебник R Murphy. 3th ed. [S. l.]: Cambridge university press, 2013. 319
- 4. Англо-русский медицинский словарь [Электронный ресурс]: словарь / под ред. И. Ю. Марковиной, Э. Г. Улумбекова. Электрон. текстовые дан. (30,3 Мб). М.: ГЭОТАР Медиа, 2013. 496 с. эл. опт. диск (CD-ROM)
- 5. Берзегова, Л. Ю. Essential reading in medicine [Электронный ресурс]: учебное пособие / Л. Ю. Берзегова, Г. И. Филиппских, Н. А. Мотина. Электрон. текстовые дан. (42,8Мб). М.: ГЭОТАР Медиа, 2017. эл. опт. диск (CD-ROM).
- 6. Қазақша ағылшынша сөздік [Мәтін]: 30 мыңға жуық сөз бен сөз тіркестері қамтылған / [Г. Сағидолда, Г. Қожахметова, Ә. Қызырова; жалпы ред. басқ.: Ж. Түймебаев, Г. Сағидолда]. Алматы: Қазығұрт, 2017. 434, [6] б. http://elib.kaznu.kz/
- 7. Английский язык [Электронный ресурс] English in Dentistry: учебник / Л.Ю. Берзегова; под ред. Л. Ю. Берзеговой 2-с из., испр. и доп. Электрон. текстовые дан. (45,4 Мб). М.: ГЭОТАР-Медиа, 2013. 360 с эл. опт.диск (CD-ROM): ил.
- 8. Сатбаева А.А., Сагантаева С.Х. Английский язык для фармацевтов. Алматы: «Эверо», 2020. 296с. https://www.elib.kz
- 9. Новый англо-русский и русско-английский словарь. 100000 слов и словосочетаний [Текст]: словарь. М.: ООО "Дом Славянской книги", 2014. 992 с
- 10. Англо- русский медицинский словарь [Текст]: словарь / под ред. И. Ю. Марковиной. М.: ГЭОТАР Медиа, 2013. 496 с.

Foreign languages department	044/36-11
Methodical recommendation for students` individual works	10 page of 16

5. Criteria for perform SIWT

Types of SIWT	Grade	tradit ional grade	Criteria for assessing students' knowledge
	A (4.0; 95-100%); A- (3.67; 90-94%)	excellent	 presentation is exceptionally clear, concise, and focused, with a well-defined message and purpose. content is highly relevant to the topic, demonstrating an indepth understanding of the subject matter. presenter's research and knowledge are extensive, providing comprehensive insights. presenter effectively supports their arguments with a wide range of compelling evidence and examples the presenter consistently engages the audience, maintaining their interest throughout the info poster. logical and consistent presentation of the text of the work the presentation is generally clear and focused, with a
Presentation	B+ (3.33; 85- 89%); B (3.0; 80- 84%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%)		discernible message and purpose. -content is mostly relevant to the topic, demonstrating a solid understanding of the subject matter. -the presenter has conducted thorough research and displays adequate knowledge. -the organization and structure of the presentation are logical, allowing for a coherent flow of information. -the presenter uses a reasonable amount of supporting evidence and examples.
	C+ (2.33; 70-74%).	Good	 made in the correct literary language, stylistically corresponds to the content; there are single factual inaccuracies; there are minor inconsistencies in the presentation of thoughts; the conclusion contains conclusions that logically follow from the content to main part.
	C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%)	satisfactory	 -the presentation has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus. -content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding. -the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth. -the organization and structure of the presentation are somewhat logical but may be disjointed. -the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.

Foreign languages department	044/36-11
Methodical recommendation for students` individual works	11 page of 16

-the presentation shows a lack of research and understanding of the subject matter. -there is a lack of relevant literature, data, or sources to support the presentation's content. -It addresses a topic or problem that is irrelevant or trivial -visual aids are clear, well-designed, and directly contribute to the presentation's effectiveness. -the design and layout of visuals are consistent and visually pleasing. -visuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension. - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
-visual aids are clear, well-designed, and directly contribute to the presentation's effectiveness. 100%); -visual aids are clear, well-designed, and directly contribute to the presentation's effectiveness. -the design and layout of visuals are consistent and visually pleasing. -visuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension. - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
-visual aids are clear, well-designed, and directly contribute to the presentation's effectiveness. 100%); -visual aids are clear, well-designed, and directly contribute to the presentation's effectiveness. -the design and layout of visuals are consistent and visually pleasing. -visuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension. - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
-visual aids are clear, well-designed, and directly contribute to the presentation's effectiveness. 100%); -visual aids are clear, well-designed, and directly contribute to the presentation's effectiveness. -the design and layout of visuals are consistent and visually pleasing. -visuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension. - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
-visual aids are clear, well-designed, and directly contribute to the presentation's effectiveness. -the design and layout of visuals are consistent and visually pleasingvisuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension. - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
the presentation's effectivenessthe design and layout of visuals are consistent and visually pleasingvisuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
-visuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension. A- (3.67; 90- 94%) A- (3.67; 90- 10. - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
-visuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension. A- (3.67; 90- 94%) A- (3.67; 90- 10. - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
-visuals are thoughtfully chosen and strategically placed to enhance comprehension. A- (3.67; 90- 94%) A- (3.67; 90- 10. - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
A- (3.67; 90- 94%) enhance comprehension. organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
A- (3.67; 90- 94%) enhance comprehension. organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
A- (3.67; 90- 94%) - organization and structure of the info-poster are flawless, facilitating a smooth flow of information. - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
94%) facilitating a smooth flow of information the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
- the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
proved
-visual aids are not only visually appealing but also enhance
the audience's understanding significantly.
B+ (3.33; 85visual aids are mostly clear and adequately designed but may
89%); have some minor issues.
-the design and layout of visuals are generally consistent.
B (3.0; 80- 84%) B (3.0; 80- 95 -visuals generally contribute to the info poster's effectiveness -the info poster presents not so clear, not complete, or not
o 170)
correctly organized results or findings
B- (2.67; 75- -It offers not so unique perspective or solution to the problem
79%) -It addresses a topic or problem that is relevant or trivial.
C+ (2.33; 70 made in the correct literary language, stylistically
74%). corresponds to the content;
- there are single factual inaccuracies; - there are minor inconsistencies in the presentation
thoughts;
- the conclusion contains conclusions that logically follow
from the content to main part.
C (2.0; 65 mainly reveals the topic;
- the presenter engages the audience to some extent but may
C- (1.67; 60- 64%) struggle to maintain their interest. -visual aids, if used, contribute minimally to the presentation understanding.
-visual aids, if used, contribute minimally to the presentation
understanding.
- the material is presented quite logically, but there are
separate violation of the sequence of expression of thoughts
D+ (1, 33; 5 -the info poster documentation is disorganized, lacks detail,
-the into poster documentation is disorganized, lacks detail, and is poorly structured. -visuals, if used, do not effectively enhance understanding or are irrelevant.
-visuals, if used, do not effectively enhance understanding or
D (1.0; 50-) are irrelevant.
-the material is unclear, unengaging, and fails to communicat
the info poster's key points effectively.

Foreign languages department	044/36-11
Methodical recommendation for students` individual works	12 page of 16

		1	
	A (4.0; 95-100%); A- (3.67; 90-94%)	excellent	 the content of the work is fully consistent with the topic; the topic is deeply and reasonably revealed, which indicates excellent knowledge of the problem additional materials required for its coverage, the ability to draw conclusions and generalizations; harmonious in composition, logical and consistent presentation of thoughts; the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved written in the correct literary language and stylistically corresponds to the content; there are no actual errors; the semantic unity of the text has been achieved the conclusion contains conclusions that logically follow from the content to main part.
Essay	B+ (3.33; 85-89%); B (3.0; 80-84%) B- (2.67; 75-79%)	Good	- content is relevant to the topic - good knowledge of literary material is revealed, and other sources on the topic of the essay and the ability to use them to justify, their thoughts, as well as draw conclusions and generalizations; - logical and consistent presentation of the text of the work; - clearly formulated thesis corresponding to the topic, - in the main part is logical, coherent, but not complete enough the thesis put forward is proved.
	C+ (2.33; 70-74%).	Good	 written in the correct literary language, stylistically corresponds to the content; there are single factual inaccuracies; there are minor inconsistencies in the presentation thoughts; the conclusion contains conclusions that logically follow from the content to main part.
	C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%)	satisfactory	 mainly reveals the topic; a correct, but one-sided or insufficiently complete answer is given to topic deviations from it or individual errors in the presentation were made factual material; insufficient ability to draw conclusions and generalizations is revealed; the material is presented quite logically, but there are separate violation of the sequence of expression of thoughts; the conclusions do not fully correspond to the content of the main part.
	D+ (1, 33; 5 5 -5 9 %)	satisf actory	 the topic is not fully disclosed, which indicates a superficial knowledge; consists of a confused retelling of individual events, without

Foreign languages department	044/36-11
r oreign ranguages department	
Methodical recommendation for students` individual works	13 page of 16

generalizations; - characterized by a random arrangement of the material, the absence connections between parts; - conclusions do not follow from the main part; - numerous (60-100%) text borrowings from other sources; - characterized by the presence of gross speech errors. - the presenter's verbal communication is exceptional, featuring clear articulation, an engaging tone, and a well-paced delivery, - non-verbal communication is outstanding, with confident and appropriate eye contact, gestures, and body language, - visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality - demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. - verbal communication is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pace non-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the project visual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. - the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement in style or content, although there's room for enhancement in style or content, although there's room for enhancement in style or content, although there's room for enhancement in style or content, some main reprovements needed. - the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.				conclusion and
absence connections between parts; - conclusions do not follow from the main part; - numerous (60-100%) text borrowings from other sources; - characterized by the presence of gross speech errors the presenter's verbal communication is exceptional, featuring clear articulation, an engaging tone, and a well-paced delivery, - non-verbal communication is outstanding, with confident and appropriate eye contact, gestures, and body language, - visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality - demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. - verbal communication is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pace non-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the project visual aids contribute to the presentations understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. C+ (2.33; 70-74%). C+ (2.33; 70-74%). The presenterion demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) The presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65-69%) - the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and flocus content is somewhat relevant to the topic,		D (1.0: 50		generalizations;
- conclusions do not follow from the main part; - numerous (60-100%) text borrowings from other sources; - characterized by the presence of gross speech errors. - the presenter's verbal communication is exceptional, featuring clear articulation, an engaging tone, and a well- paced delivery, - non-verbal communication is outstanding, with confident and appropriate eye contact, gestures, and body language, - visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality - demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. - verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the project visual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. C (2.0; 65- 69%) C (1.67; 60- 64%) The project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+(1, 33; 5) - the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making - the project are sonewhat logical but may be disjointed.		* *		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A (4.0; 95- 100%); A (4.0; 95- 100%); A- (3.67; 90- 94%) A- (3.67; 90- 94%) B- (3.03; 85- 89%); B- (2.67; 75- 79%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) C- (2.0; 65- 69%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) D- (1, 33; 5) B- (1, 33; 5) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) D- (1, 33; 5) D- (1, 34;				connections between parts;
- characterized by the presence of gross speech errors. - the presenter's verbal communication is exceptional, featuring clear articulation, an engaging tone, and a well-paced delivery, -non-verbal communication is outstanding, with confident and appropriate eye contact, gestures, and body language, - visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality - demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. - verbal communication is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pace non-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the project visual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. - the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context - the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. - the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making				- conclusions do not follow from the main part;
-the presenter's verbal communication is exceptional, featuring clear articulation, an engaging tone, and a well-paced delivery, -non-verbal communication is outstanding, with confident and appropriate eye contact, gestures, and body language, -visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, -the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, -technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. -verbal communication is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pacenon-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, -visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, -the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, -technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. -verbal communication is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pacenon-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, -visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively formulated, coherently and funly proved, -technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality edmonstrates and proposed. -the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the project. -visual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor -it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it				- numerous (60-100%) text borrowings from other sources;
A (4.0; 95-100%); A (3.67; 90-94%) The project lacks of the project are somewhat logical but may be deficiled in somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding. A (3.67; 90-94%) The project lacks of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.				- characterized by the presence of gross speech errors.
A- (3.67; 90- 94%) A- (3.67; 90- 94%) B+ (3.33; 85- 89%); B- (2.67; 75- 79%) C+ (2.33; 70- 74%). C+ (2.33; 70- 74%). C- (1.67; 60- 64%) D- (1.33; 5) D- (1.33; 5				-the presenter's verbal communication is exceptional,
A- (3.67; 90- 94%) A- (3.67; 90- 94%) B+ (3.33; 85- 89%); B+ (3.33; 85- 89%); B- (2.67; 75- 79%) C+ (2.33; 70- 74%). C+ (2.33; 70- 74%). C- (1.67; 60- 64%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) D+ (1, 33; 5) D-		A (4.0; 95-		featuring clear articulation, an engaging tone, and a well-
A- (3.67; 90- 94%) A- (3.67; 90- 94%) B- (3.33; 85- 89%); B- (2.67; 75- 79%) C- (2.33; 70- 74%). C- (2.0; 65- 69%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) A- (3.67; 90- 94%) and appropriate eye contact, gestures, and body language, - visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. -verbal communication is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pacenon-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, - visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, -the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, separate from others. -the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the projectvisual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovatic in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.		100%);		paced delivery,
A- (3.67; 90- 94%) A- (3.67; 90- 94%) A- (3.67; 90- 94%) - visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality - demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. B+ (3.33; 85- 89%); B (3.0; 80- 84%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%) C- (1.63; 60- 64%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) D- (1, 33; 5) A- (1, 33; 5) - visuals, such as charts, graphs, and images, are used effectively to enhance understanding, - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully proved, - the princal aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality - demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. - verbal communication is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pace non-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the project visual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. - the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context - the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.				-non-verbal communication is outstanding, with confident
The problem is clearly formulated, conferently and turry proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. B+ (3.33; 85- 89%); B (3.0; 80- 84%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%) C+ (2.33; 70- 74%). C- (2.0; 65- 69%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) D+ (1, 33; 5) T- the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making rechical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. -verbal communication is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pacenon-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate. -the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the projectvisual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovatice in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.			nt	and appropriate eye contact, gestures, and body language,
The problem is clearly formulated, conferently and turry proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. B+ (3.33; 85- 89%); B (3.0; 80- 84%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%) C+ (2.33; 70- 74%). C- (1.67; 60- 64%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) D+ (1, 33; 5) T- the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making - the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, ma			lle	
The problem is clearly formulated, conferently and turry proved, - technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. B+ (3.33; 85- 89%); B (3.0; 80- 84%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%) B- (2.67; 75- 79%) C+ (2.33; 70- 74%). C- (2.0; 65- 69%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) D+ (1, 33; 5) T- the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making rechical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. -verbal communication is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pacenon-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate. -the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the projectvisual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovatice in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.			xce	
Technical aspects, clarity of slides and audio quality -demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. 89%); -verbal communication, is generally clear and understandable with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pacenon-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriatethe presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the projectvisual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. C+(2.33; 70- 74%). C+(2.33; 70- 74%). -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovatic in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65- 69%) C-(1.67; 60- 64%) C-(1.67; 60- 64%) C-(1.67; 60- 64%) D+(1, 33; 5 T-(1-) T-(1		94%)	(e)	1 -
demonstrates a high degree of creativity and innovation in style or content, setting it apart from others. 89%); B (3.0; 80-84%) B- (2.67; 75-79%) B- (2.67; 75-79%) C+ (2.33; 70-74%). C- (1.67; 60-64%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) D+ (1, 33; 5) D+ (1, 33; 5)				*
style or content, setting it apart from others. B+ (3.33; 85-89%); B (3.0; 80-84%) B- (2.67; 75-79%) B- (2.67; 75-79%) B- (2.67; 75-79%) C+ (2.33; 70-74%). C+ (2.33; 70-74%). C+ (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) C+ (1.33; 5) C+ (1.				
B+ (3.33; 85-89%); B (3.0; 80-84%) B- (2.67; 75-79%) B- (2.67; 75-79%) C+ (2.33; 70-74%). C- (1.67; 60-64%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) D+ (1, 33; 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				
With few issues related to articulation, tone, or pacenon-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriatethe presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the projectvisual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. C+ (2.33; 70-74%). -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5)		D (2.22, 05		
-non-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is generally appropriate. -the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the projectvisual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. C+ (2.33; 70-74%). -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5) -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making		· ·		
B (3.0; 80-84%) B- (2.67; 75-79%) B- (2.63; 70-74%). C+ (2.33; 70-74%). C- (1.67; 60-64%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) B- (2.67; 75-79%) and body language, is generally appropriate. -the presenter engages the audience effectively, maintaining their interest during most of the project. -visual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus. -content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding. -the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth. -the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed. -the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making		89%);		
their interest during most of the project. -visual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. C+ (2.33; 70-74%). -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus. -content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding. -the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth. -the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed. -the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making		D (2 0. 80	q	
their interest during most of the project. -visual aids contribute to the presentation's understanding, although there may be some minor improvements needed. C+ (2.33; 70-74%). -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus. -content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding. -the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth. -the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed. -the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making		, ,	001	
B- (2.67; 75-79%) C+ (2.33; 70-74%). C+ (2.33; 70-74%). -the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) C (2.0; 65-69%) C- (1.67; 60-64%) D+ (1, 33; 5		0470)	0	
-the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5	ect	B- (2.67:75-		
-the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation in style or content, although there's room for enhancement the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making	. <u>.</u>			
in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65- 69%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) D+ (1, 33; 5) in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context -the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5) -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making	P	,,,,,		annough there may be some manor amprovements needed.
in style or content, although there's room for enhancement -the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65- 69%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) The project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5) The project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making		C+ (2.33; 70-		-the presentation demonstrates some creativity and innovation
or requirements provided by the instructor - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65- 69%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%) C (2.0; 65- 64%) C (1.67; 60- 64%) The project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focuscontent is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5) The first term of the project are somewhat supporting evidence and examples is minimal. The project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making		74%).	q	in style or content, although there's room for enhancement
- it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context C (2.0; 65- 69%) - the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5) - it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chose context - the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understanding the level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depth the organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointed the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.			00	-the project follows 70% any specific guidelines, formatting,
context C (2.0; 65- 69%) C- (1.67; 60- 64%)				-
-the project has a discernible message and purpose, but it may lack clarity and focus. -content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5				- it demonstrates 70% significance and relevance in its chosen
may lack clarity and focus. -content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5) -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making				
-content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5) -content is somewhat relevant to the topic, with gaps in understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal.		, ,		
understandingthe level of research and knowledge is basic and may lack depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5		· ·		1
logical but may be disjointed. -the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5 -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making			ory	
logical but may be disjointed. -the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5 -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making		04%)	actc	
logical but may be disjointed. -the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5 -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making			isfa	
logical but may be disjointed. -the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5 -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making			sat	
-the use of supporting evidence and examples is minimal. D+ (1, 33; 5				1
D+ (1, 33; 5 -the project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making				•
		D+ (1, 33 : 5	 +	
5 -5 9 %) $3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3$ it difficult to understand its focus		, , ,	sat isf	
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		, , ,		depththe organization and structure of the project are somewhat logical but may be disjointedthe use of supporting evidence and examples is minimalthe project lacks clear scope, objectives, or purpose, making

Foreign languages department	044/36-11
Methodical recommendation for students` individual works	14 page of 16

			- the project fails to draw meaningful conclusions based on the results
	D (1.0; 50-		-the goals of the project are poorly defined, unrealistic, or unachievable
	54%)		- the project shows a lack of research and understanding of
			the subject matter.
	A (4.0: 05		- verbal communication, clear articulation, tone, and pace;
	A (4.0; 95-100%);		- the topic is deeply and reasonably revealed, which indicates excellent knowledge of the problem
	10070),		-additional materials required for its coverage, the ability to
			draw conclusions and generalizations;
	A (2.67, 00	ot .	- harmonious in composition, logical and consistent
	A- (3.67; 90- 94%)	-lle	presentation of thoughts; - the problem is clearly formulated, coherently and fully
	170)	excellent	proved
			-the speaker adeptly answers questions and engages in
			meaningful discussions, demonstrating a deep understanding
			of the topic; - correct literary language and stylistically corresponds to the
			content;
			- there are no actual errors
	B+ (3.33; 85-		-verbal communication is generally clear and understandable,
ch	89%);		with few issues related to articulation, tone, or pacenon-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures,
bee	B (3.0; 80-	Good	and body language, is generally appropriate.
ne s	84%)	Ğ	- logical and consistent presentation of the text of the work;
logi			- clearly formulated thesis corresponding to the topic ,
Monologue speech	B- (2.67; 75-79%)		- in the main part is logical, coherent, but not complete enough the thesis put forward is proved.
M	C+ (2.33; 70-		-the speaker responds adequately to questions and engages in
	74%).		discussions, demonstrating a reasonable understanding of the
			topic.
		Good	-audience engagement strategies, while speaking, may require
		9	some improvementchallenges or interruptions are addressed satisfactorily.
			- there are single factual inaccuracies;
			- there are minor inconsistencies in the presentation of
	0 (20) (5		thoughts
	C (2.0; 65-69%)		mainly reveals the topic;a correct, but one-sided or insufficiently complete answer is
	C- (1.67; 60-	ory	given to topic
	64%)	satisfactory	-verbal communication is understandable but may have
		ıtisf	noticeable issues with articulation, tone, or pace.
		Sa	-non-verbal communication, including eye contact, gestures, and body language, is somewhat lacking or inappropriate at
			times
-	1		•

OŃTÚSTIK-OAZAOSTAN MEDISINA AKADEMIASY «Оңтүстік Қазақстан медицина академиясы» АҚ	SKMA -1979 -	SOUTH KAZAKHSTAN MEDICAL ACADEMY AO «Южно-Казахстанска	я медицинская академия»
Foreign languages depart		044/36-11	

Methodical recommendation for students' individual works

15 page of 16

		 - the material is presented quite logically, but there are separate violation of the sequence of expression of thoughts; - the conclusions do not fully correspond to the content of the main part.
D+ (1 5 -5 9	1, 33; 5	 - the topic is not fully disclosed, which indicates a superficial knowledge; - consists of a confused retelling of individual events, without
D (1.54%)	satisfactory	conclusion and generalizations; - characterized by a random arrangement of the material, the absence connections between parts; - conclusions do not follow from the main part; - characterized by the presence of gross speech errors.

OŃTÚSTIK-OAZAOSTAN MEDISINA AKADEMIASY «Оңтүстік Қазақстан медицина академиясы» АҚ	я медицинская академия»
Foreign languages department	044/36-11
Methodical recommendation for students` individual works	16 page of 16